# A Theory of Coding for Chipto-Chip Communication

### Amin Shokrollahi

and the engineering team of Kandou





### The Problem



# **Chip-to-Chip Communication**







## Abundant....

KANDOU BUS





### Noise



Noise scales badly with frequency of transmission: Example: -40dB at frequency *f*, -90dB at 2*f* 





## **Chordal Codes**

Brief intro into theory



# **Chordal Codes**

A (n, N)-chordal code (CC) is

- A finite subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset [-1, +1]^n$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}| = N$ ; Codewords (signals)
- A finite subset  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ; Comparators (central hyperplanes)
- And certain constraints. Operational constraints





### Parameters

A (n, N)-chordal code (CC) is

- A finite subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset [-1, +1]^n$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}| = N$ ;
- A finite subset  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\};$
- And certain constraints.

 $(\mathcal{C}, \Lambda)$  is (n, N)-CC

- n is called the number of wires
- $\log_2(N)/n$  is the *rate* or the *pin-efficiency* #bits per wires
- $|\Lambda|$  is called the *detection complexity*.





**ANDOU BUS** 

 $(\mathcal{C},\Lambda)$  is  $(n,N)\text{-}\mathrm{CC}$ 

- n is called the number of wires
- $\bullet \ \log_2(N)/n$  is the  $\mathit{rate}\ \mathrm{or}\ \mathrm{the}\ \mathit{pin-efficiency}\ {\tt \#bits}\ {\tt per}\ {\tt wires}$
- $|\Lambda|$  is called the *detection complexity*.



Transmits one bit per *a pair* of wires

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &= \{(1,-1),(-1,1)\} \\ \Lambda &= \{(1,-1)\} \end{aligned} \tag{2,2)-CC} \\ \text{Rate} &= 1/2 \end{aligned}$$





# **Electronics: Comparators**

Efficient, High-Speed Electronic Circuits





# Geometry: Central Hyperplanes



- A MIC corresponds to a central hyperplane
- Each hyperplane subdivides space into two halves
- Each codeword should ideally lie on one side or another
- Not all codewords should lie on the same side







# Transmission Chain



# **Chordal Codes**



A (n, N)-chordal code (CC) is

- A finite subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset [-1, +1]^n$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}| = N$ ;
- A finite subset  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\};$

 $- \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ :  $||\lambda||_1 = 2$ . No gain

•  $\forall c, c' \in \mathcal{C}, c \neq c', \exists \lambda \in \Lambda: \operatorname{sgn}(\langle \lambda, c \rangle \cdot \langle \lambda, c' \rangle) = -1.$ Unique MIC signature Distinguishability



Campinas - September 2016

## First Bound

Given n and  $|\Lambda|$ , determine the largest N. What is the largest rate for a given detection complexity?

$$N \le \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{|\Lambda|}{i} (1 + (-1)^{n-1-i})$$

Zaslavsky's Formula for the max number of chambers of an arrangement of central hyperplanes





## **Unbounded Rate**



### $|\Lambda| = cn \implies \text{Rate} \sim 1 + \log_2(c)$

#### But:

- Asymptotic results are not really relevant
- Didn't take into account noise





# Small Chambers Susceptibility to Noise







# **Chordal Codes**

- A (n, N)-chordal code (CC) is
  - A finite subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset [-1, +1]^n$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}| = N$ ;
  - A finite subset  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\};$

 $- \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ :  $||\lambda||_1 = 2.$ 

•  $\forall c, c' \in \mathcal{C}, c \neq c', \exists \lambda \in \Lambda: \operatorname{sgn}(\langle \lambda, c \rangle \cdot \langle \lambda, c' \rangle) = -1.$ 

 $H := \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_i x_i = 0 \}.$ 

A (n, N, I)-chordal code (CC) is

- A finite subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset [-1,+1]^n \cap H$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}| = N$ .
- A finite subset  $\Lambda \subset H$ , Common mode resilience

 $- \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ :  $||\lambda||_1 = 2.$ 

Such that

•  $\forall c, c' \in \mathcal{C}, c \neq c', \exists \lambda \in \Lambda: \operatorname{sgn}(\langle \lambda, c \rangle \cdot \langle \lambda, c' \rangle) = -1.$ •  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, c, c' \in \mathcal{C}: \frac{|\langle \lambda, c \rangle|}{|\langle \lambda, c' \rangle|} \leq I.$  ISI resilience



### Parameters

 $H := \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_i x_i = 0 \}.$ A (n, N, I)-chordal code (CC) is • A subset  $\mathcal{C} \in [-1, +1]^n \cap H, |\mathcal{C}| = N.$ • A subset  $\Lambda \in H \cap L_2, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda: ||\lambda||_2 = 2.$ Such that •  $\forall c, c' \in \mathcal{C}, c \neq c', \exists \lambda \in \Lambda: \operatorname{sgn}(\langle \lambda, c \rangle \cdot \langle \lambda, c' \rangle) = -1.$ •  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, c, c' \in \mathcal{C}: \frac{|\langle \lambda, c \rangle|}{|\langle \lambda, c' \rangle|} \leq I.$ 

### $(\mathcal{C}, \Lambda)$ is (n, N, I)-CC.

- n is called the number of wires
- $\bullet \ \log_2(N)/n$  is the rate or the pin-efficiency "bits per wires"
- $|\Lambda|$  is called the *detection complexity*. The fewer comparators the better (for power/area)
- *I* is called the *ISI-ratio* (if equality holds for some  $\lambda, c, c'$ ). Small *I* means better resilience to ISI







# **Fundamental Problem**



Given n and N, determine smallest I such that there is a (n, N, I)-CC. Alternatively

Given n and I, determine largest N such that there is a (n, N, I)-CC.





# Examples Differential Signaling

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(1, -1), (-1, 1)\}$$
$$\Lambda = \{(1, -1)\}$$



Same distance

 $H := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_i x_i = 0$ 

•  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, c, c' \in \mathcal{C}: \frac{|\langle \lambda, c \rangle|}{|\langle \lambda, c' \rangle|} \leq I.$ 

• A finite subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset [-1, +1]^n \cap H$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}| = N$ .

•  $\forall c, c' \in \mathcal{C}, c \neq c', \exists \lambda \in \Lambda: \operatorname{sgn}(\langle \lambda, c \rangle \cdot \langle \lambda, c' \rangle) = -1.$ 

A (n, N, I)-chordal code (CC) is

• A finite subset  $\Lambda \subset H$ ,  $-\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ :  $||\lambda||_1 = 2$ .

Such that

ISIR = 1 (2,2,1)-CC



# Examples 3 Wires

 $C = \{(1, 0, -1), (1, -1, 0), (0, 1, -1), (0, -1, 1), (-1, 0, 1), (-1, 1, 0)\}$   $\Lambda = \{(1, 0, -1), (1, -1, 0), (0, 1, -1)\}$ Root system A<sub>2</sub>



ISIR = 2 (3,6,2)-CC

KANDOU BUS





- $(\mathcal{C}, \Lambda)$  is (n, N, I)-CC.
  - $I \geq 1$ . Obvious
  - $|\Lambda| \geq \log_2(N)$ . Every comparator gives at most one bit of information





### Constructions

Some, not all....



## **Tampering Process**

What if sum of coordinates is not zero?

Start with any set of codewords and comparators.

- Construct  $(n-1) \times n$ -matrix with
  - All rows orthogonal
  - Row-sum = 0 for all rows
- $c \in \mathcal{C} : c \cdot A$ .
- $\lambda \in \Lambda : \lambda \cdot A$ .

Tampering process











# Linear Chordal Codes

Scaling, so coordinates are between ±1

Apply tampering process to

- Vertices of the hypercube and
- The coordinate axes.

$$C = \frac{1}{m} (\pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1) \cdot A$$
$$\Lambda = \text{scaled versions of rows of } A$$

$$\mathcal{C} = (\pm 1) \cdot (1, -1)$$
$$\Lambda = \{(1, -1)\}$$

Differential

**ENRZ** 



# **Optimal Chordal Codes**

$$H := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_i x_i = 0\}.$$
  
A  $(n, N, I)$ -chordal code (CC) is  
• A finite subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset [-1, +1]^n \cap H$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}| = N$ .  
• A finite subset  $\Lambda \subset H$ ,  
 $-\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ :  $||\lambda||_1 = 2$ .  
Such that  
•  $\forall c, c' \in \mathcal{C}, c \neq c', \exists \lambda \in \Lambda$ :  $\operatorname{sgn}(\langle \lambda, c \rangle \cdot \langle \lambda, c' \rangle) = -1$ .  
•  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ :  $\frac{|\langle \lambda, c \rangle|}{|\langle \lambda, c' \rangle|} \leq I$ .

- For all  $n \ge 2$  there exists  $(n, 2^{n-1}, 1)$ -CC with n-1 comparators.
- If  $(\mathcal{C}, \Lambda)$  is (n, N, 1)-CC, then  $N \leq 2^{n-1}$ .
- Optimal number of comparators
- Optimal number of codewords
- Maximal rate is asymptotically 1
- Doubles rate of differential signaling



### Proofs



Construct tampering matrix of size n for all  $n \ge 2$  by recursion.



## Examples



Phantom







## **Other ISI Ratios**

- Conjecture: (n, N, I)-CC  $\implies N \leq (1+I)^{n-1}$ .
- Max rate  $\leq \log_2(1+I)$
- Can show rate  $\sim \log_2(1+I)$  for integer *I*.





# Construction Methods Relaxation

- Define stripe around every hyperplane
  - Codewords inside a stripe are "inactive" for that hyperplane (and vice versa)
  - Codewords outside stripe are "active" for that hyperplane (and vice versa)
- Any two codewords are separated by at least one active hyperplane
- For ISI-ratio only active hyperplanes are considered





## Relaxation



• ISI-ratio without relaxation =  $\infty$ 





# Example Permutation Modulation Codes

- Take a vector  $v \in [-1, +1]^n \cap H$ .
- Codebook is the orbit of v under  $S_n$  (coordinate permutations)
- Comparators are all "pairwise comparators"  $e_i e_j$ ,  $1 \le i < j \le n$ .

**David Slepian** 

- Rediscovered for chip-to-chip communication by many companies/individuals
- Relaxation: incident codewords and hyperplanes are inactive
- Many comparators....



Root system A<sub>n-1</sub>



# Example Maximal Rate

• Fix integer ISI-ratio I.

ANDOU BUS

- Alphabet is equidistant of size I + 1.
- Vector v has  $\sim n/(I+1)$  coordinates equal to any given alphabet element.
- Take PM code generated by v.

Rate = 
$$\frac{1}{n} \log_2 \left( \frac{n}{\frac{1}{I+1}, \frac{n}{I+1}, \dots, \frac{n}{I+1}} \right) = \log_2(1+I) - o(1)$$





## Example

### What is the best ISI-ratio for n = 4, N = 16?

# Best result so far: 2.38933, 11 comparators not practical





## How it was Obtained

### What point set should we start with???





Spherical code of size 16 in three dimensions Calculate all the bisectors between pairs of points.



Multiply result with a tampering matrix to project to a chordal code in four dimensions. In this example, the Hadamard matrix is used





# **Other Examples**

#### **Archimedean bodies**



#### Spherical codes

(0.735, 0.404, 0 (-0.317, 0.470, -0 (0.052, 0.928, -0 (0.707, -0.234, -0 (0.723, -0.686, -0 (0.084, -0.644, 0 (0.738, -0.338, 0 (-0.468, -0.158, 0 (-0.497, -0.797, -0 (-0.489, 0.860, 0 (0.238, 0.0521, 0	0.543) 0.823) 0.367) 0.666) 0.075) 0.759) 0.582) 0.582) 0.589) 0.340) 0.139) 0.969)	(0.425, 0.442, -0.789) (0.200, -0.776, -0.597) (-0.956, -0.286, -0.055) (0.039, -0.162, -0.986) (0.068, -0.992, 0.101) (0.999, 0.003, -0.025) (-0.659, -0.183, -0.729) (0.717, 0.680, -0.147) (-0.560, -0.726, 0.397) (-0.864, 0.281, 0.417) (-0.858, 0.402, -0.316)
(0.238, 0.0521, 0 (0.220, 0.907, 0	).969) ).357)	(-0.858, 0.402, -0.316) (-0.277, 0.555, 0.780)

#### Permutation modulation codes of type II

$(1,\sqrt{2}-1,\sqrt{2}-1)$	$(-1, \sqrt{2} - 1, \sqrt{2} - 1)$
$(1, -\sqrt{2} - 1, \sqrt{2} - 1)$	$(-1, -\sqrt{2} - 1, \sqrt{2} - 1)$
$(1, \sqrt{2} - 1, -\sqrt{2} - 1)$	$(-1, \sqrt{2} - 1, -\sqrt{2} - 1)$
$(1, -\sqrt{2} - 1, -\sqrt{2} - 1)$	$(-1, -\sqrt{2} - 1, -\sqrt{2} - 1)$
$(\sqrt{2}-1, 1, \sqrt{2}-1)$	$(-\sqrt{2}-1, 1, \sqrt{2}-1)$
$(\sqrt{2}-1, -1, \sqrt{2}-1)$	$(-\sqrt{2}-1,-1,\sqrt{2}-1)$
$(\sqrt{2}-1, 1, -\sqrt{2}-1)$	$(-\sqrt{2}-1, 1, -\sqrt{2}-1)$
$(\sqrt{2}-1, -1, -\sqrt{2}-1)$	$(-\sqrt{2}-1,-1,-\sqrt{2}-1)$
$(\sqrt{2}-1,\sqrt{2}-1,1)$	$(-\sqrt{2}-1,\sqrt{2}-1,1)$
$(\sqrt{2}-1, -\sqrt{2}-1, 1)$	$(-\sqrt{2}-1, -\sqrt{2}-1, 1)$
$(\sqrt{2}-1,\sqrt{2}-1,-1)$	$(-\sqrt{2}-1,\sqrt{2}-1,-1)$
$(\sqrt{2}-1, -\sqrt{2}-1, -1)$	$(-\sqrt{2}-1, -\sqrt{2}-1, -1)$

 $(12, 4, (1+\sqrt{5})/2) - CC$ 15 comparators

(24, 4, 2.69) - CC48 comparators  $(24, 4, \sqrt{2} + 1) - CC$ 9 comparators

Subset of Root system B<sub>n</sub>



## State of Affairs

Exact code values are widely unknown except for n = 2.

- Even for case of ISI-ratio 1 under relaxation
  - Does there exist a  $(n, > 2^{n-1}, 1)$ -CC under relaxation?
- Good idea about the case n = 3, but otherwise...



## Applications

Maybe some other time....

